7/21/2015 Rubric

## **Rubric**

Name Discussion Rubric Description Each student must participate in discussion boards during each module. Students must respond to the original post by the instructor. In addition, students must reply to two classmate posts with a minimum of 2 paragraphs/200 words. Posts must take place over a minimum of two days. (4 discussions, each worth 15 points) Rubric Detail **Levels of Achievement** Meets all Meets some Does not meet Criteria **Expectations Expectations Expectations** Quality 3 Points 1.5 Points 0 Points Addresses the Addresses the issues Does not address directly but does not use issues directly, issues directly. completely and uses much evidence to back up nor answer evidence from discussion. Makes minimal questions readings and reference to lectures and comprehensively. lectures. In addition, readings. Does not uses outside reference lecture or reading experiences to material. supplement the discussion. **Applied** 3 Points 1.5 Points 0 Points Knowledge Demonstrates Demonstrates some Does not thorough understanding of concepts integrate lecture understanding of from the lectures and podcasts, and concepts from the reading materials, but not reading lectures and reading clear on some details. materials. materials. **Engagement** 3 Points 1.5 Points 0 Points Two or more high Less than two Two or more responses (not including the initial quality responses responses to (not including the response) over several classmates are initial response) are days are posted but lacking posted. one or more of the posted. High quality characteristics of a high responses contain good detail, depth of quality response such as thought, and good detail, depth of demonstration that thought, and demonstration that course concepts are course concepts are applied. applied. **Timeliness** 1.5 Points 0 Points 3 Points

1 response posted late.

All responses posted

on time.

Both responses

at all.

posted late or not

7/21/2015 Rubric

> General **Style**

3 Points

Grammatical, spelling & typographical errors do not exist. Content well developed, tone appropriate.

1.5 Points

Content well developed, tone appropriate but there are some grammatical, spelling and/or typographical errors.

0 Points

Lacks organization & multiple errors, does not define terms or jargon.

View Associated Items

Print

Close Window